

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,738	10/15/2003	Kyung-Soo Kim	SAM-0465	6148
75	590 05/10/2005		EXAMINER	
Anthony P. Onello Jr.			CHEN, KIN CHAN	
MILLS & ONE Suite 605	ELLO LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eleven Beacon	Eleven Beacon Street		1765	
Boston, MA)2108		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		1.	8.44			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	J M I			
	10/685,738	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kin-Chan Chen	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mety filed ys will be considered timety. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	• •					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
		• •				
• .	•					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,738

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pradeep et al. (US 6,821,904).

In a method for forming multiple-thickness gate dielectric layers, Pradeep teaches that a dielectric may be formed on a semiconductor substrate. A portion of dielectric layer may be removed so as to expose a portion of the dielectric layer to form a gate dielectric layer including a thick portion of the dielectric layer and a thin portion formed of the exposed dielectric layer. Pradeep teaches that the dielectric layer may be oxide layer, nitride layer or other material suitable for use as a gate dielectric (col. 4, lines 8-50). Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to use oxide layer, nitride layer, and other material suitable for use as a gate dielectric or combination thereof because they have been used for the same purpose as gate dielectric. As such, a portion of dielectric layer, which is removed, may be second layer (oxide layer), and the first layer (e.g., nitride layer) is therefore exposed as instantly claimed, it would have been further obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art that

Art Unit: 1765

the second dielectric layer is higher than that of the first dielectric layer since the first dielectric layer is exposed after the second dielectric layer is etched as aforementioned. Pradeep also teaches nitridizing the surface of the gate dielectric layer (col. 5, lines 25-60).

Dependent claim 9 differs from Pradeep by repeating the process by using three dielectric layers. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to use the combination of three dielectric layers because they have been used for the same purpose as gate dielectric, and repeat the foregoing process steps.

The above-cited claims differ from the prior art by specifying well-known features (such as rapid thermal oxidation in claim 4; using hafnium oxide or aluminum oxide in claim 5) to the art of semiconductor device fabrication. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify Pradeep by adding any of same well-known features to same in order to meet specific product design and requirement with a reasonable expectation of success.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 10-19 are allowed.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1461. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/685,738

Art Unit: 1765

supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 5, 2005

Kin-Chan Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

K-C C